

EVALUATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Branchburg School District Evaluation Committee Report for the Before and After Care Services RFP

1. List of Proposers:
 - Springboard Education
 - Champions
 - AlphaBEST Education
 - Jointure
2. List of Evaluation Committee Members:
 - Rebecca Gensel
 - Theresa Linskey
3. Evaluation Committee Report:

		<i>The Criteria Used in Evaluating Proposals</i>		Weighting	
		<i>The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest</i>		<u>Percent</u>	<u>Points</u>
1		Ability to meet the objectives of the district as described in the RFP		25%	<u>1 to 5</u>
2		Management Criteria : Review and analysis of the staffing plans, references and overall program management		25%	<u>1 to 5</u>
3		Cost Criteria: Cost to the district's parents.		25%	<u>1 to 5</u>
4		Revenue to District		10%	<u>1 to 5</u>
5		Miscellaneous - Other factors, if demonstrated, to be in the best interest of the District.		15%	<u>1 to 5</u>

4. Scoring

	Weighting Percent	Points Awarded (1 to 5)				Weighted Points			
		Sprinboard	Champions	AlphaBEST	Jointure	Sprinboard	Champions	AlphaBEST	Jointure
Evaluator : <u>Theresa Linskey</u>									
CRITERIA									
1 Ability to meet the objectives of the district as described in the RFP	25%	5	5	5	5	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
Management Criteria : Review and analysis of the staffing plans, references and overall program management	25%	4	4	4	5	1	1	1	1.25
3 Cost Criteria : Cost to the district's parents.	25%	2	3	5	4	0.5	0.75	1.25	1
4 Revenue to District	10%	5	3	0	4	0.5	0.3	0	0.4
Miscellaneous - Other factors, if demonstrated, to be in the best interest of the District.	15%	4	4	5	5	0.6	0.6	0.75	0.75
Total Points	100%	20	19	19	23	3.85	3.9	4.25	4.65
Evaluator : <u>Rebecca Gensel</u>									
Ability to meet the objectives of the district as described in the RFP	25%	5	5	5	5	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
Management Criteria : Review and analysis of the staffing plans, references and overall program management	25%	4	4	4	5	1	1	1	1.25
Cost Criteria : Cost to the district's parents.	25%	2	3	5	4	0.5	0.75	1.25	1
Revenue to District	10%	5	3	4	4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4
Miscellaneous - Other factors, if demonstrated, to be in the best interest of the District.	15%	3	3	4	5	0.45	0.45	0.6	0.75
Total Points		19	18	22	23	3.7	3.75	4.5	4.65
<u>Totals</u>									
Ability to meet the objectives of the district as described in the RFP	25%	10	10	10	10	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Management Criteria : Review and analysis of the staffing plans, references and overall program management	25%	8	8	8	10	2	2	2	2.5
Cost Criteria : Cost to the district's parents.	25%	4	6	10	8	1	1.5	2.5	2
Revenue to District	10%	10	6	4	8	1	0.6	0.4	0.8
Miscellaneous - Other factors, if demonstrated, to be in the best interest of the District.	15%	7	7	9	10	1.05	1.05	1.35	1.5
Total Points		39	37	41	46	7.55	7.65	8.75	9.3

5. Scoring Summary:

Proposals were received from four (4) child care providers. These included:

- AlphaBEST
- Champions
- The Jointure
- Springboard Education

Each provider was evaluated on their ability to ability to meet the objectives of the district as described in the RFP, their management criteria, the cost to the District's parents, the projected revenue for the District, and any other factor that, if demonstrated, would be found to be in the best interests of the District. A weighted point score scale was applied to each application packet and scores were assigned to each of the providers. Upon completion of the scoring process and based on the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that The Jointure proposal is most advantageous for the Branchburg Township School District.

6. Recommendation of the Branchburg School District's Custodial RFP Evaluation Committee:

- Upon review of the proposal books submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that the Jointure proposal is most advantageous for the Branchburg School District.